## Learning Goals

- Definition of disjoint-path covers and the kind of problems they model
- Reduction of the disjoint-path covers problem to bipartite matching
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- Site $i$ needs the machine from time $a_{i}$ to $b_{i}$;
- It takes time $c_{i j}$ to move the machine from site $i$ to site $j$;
- How many machines do we need so that all sites can get their jobs done?
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- Construct a directed graph $G$, each node $v_{i}$ for a site $i$;
- There is an edge from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ if it is feasible to move a machine to site $j$ after it is used by site $i$ :

$$
b_{i}+c_{i j} \leq a_{j}
$$

Note that the resulting graph is acyclic;

- A path in $G$ contains a set of sites whose jobs can be done sequentially by one machine.
- We'd like to find a minimum set of paths so that each vertex is on one path.
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## Problem Formulation

## Definition

Given a directed graph, a set $\mathcal{P}$ of simple paths is a path cover if each node lies on at least one path in $\mathcal{P}$.
A path cover $\mathcal{P}$ is a disjoint-path cover if each vertex lies on exactly one path in $\mathcal{P}$.

- Input: Directed acyclic graph (DAG) $G=(V, E)$
- Output: A smallest disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}$

Remark: The problem without the acyclic condition is NP-hard.
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## Claim

The smallest disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ has $\left|\mathcal{P}^{*}\right|=|V|-\left|M^{*}\right|$.
The proof will contain an algorithmic construction of $\mathcal{P}$ from $M^{*}$.
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- Every vertex in $H$ has at most one incoming edge and at most one outgoing edge.
- Hence $H$ is a collection of disjoint paths; this collection is a path cover in $G$, and has $k$ edges.
- The number of paths in this path cover is $|V|-k$.


## Proof of reduction cont.

## Claim

The smallest disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ has $\left|\mathcal{P}^{*}\right|=|V|-\left|M^{*}\right|$.

## Proof.

- Every disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}$ in $G$ with $k$ paths corresponds to a matching in $G^{\prime}$ of size $|V|-k$.


## Proof of reduction cont.

## Claim

The smallest disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ has $\left|\mathcal{P}^{*}\right|=|V|-\left|M^{*}\right|$.

## Proof.

- Every disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}$ in $G$ with $k$ paths corresponds to a matching in $G^{\prime}$ of size $|V|-k$.
- Construct a subset $M$ of edges in $G$ : include in $M$ the edge $\left(\ell_{u}, r_{v}\right)$ if $(u, v)$ is in a path in $\mathcal{P}$.


## Proof of reduction cont.

## Claim

The smallest disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ has $\left|\mathcal{P}^{*}\right|=|V|-\left|M^{*}\right|$.

## Proof.

- Every disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}$ in $G$ with $k$ paths corresponds to a matching in $G^{\prime}$ of size $|V|-k$.
- Construct a subset $M$ of edges in $G$ : include in $M$ the edge $\left(\ell_{u}, r_{v}\right)$ if $(u, v)$ is in a path in $\mathcal{P}$.
- Each node in $G$ has at most one outgoing edge in $\mathcal{P}$, so each node in $L$ is incident to at most one edge in $M$.


## Proof of reduction cont.

## Claim

The smallest disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ has $\left|\mathcal{P}^{*}\right|=|V|-\left|M^{*}\right|$.

## Proof.

- Every disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}$ in $G$ with $k$ paths corresponds to a matching in $G^{\prime}$ of size $|V|-k$.
- Construct a subset $M$ of edges in $G$ : include in $M$ the edge $\left(\ell_{u}, r_{v}\right)$ if $(u, v)$ is in a path in $\mathcal{P}$.
- Each node in $G$ has at most one outgoing edge in $\mathcal{P}$, so each node in $L$ is incident to at most one edge in $M$.
- Each node in $G$ has at most one incoming edge in $\mathcal{P}$, so each node in $R$ is incident to at most one edge in $M$.


## Proof of reduction cont.

## Claim

The smallest disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ has $\left|\mathcal{P}^{*}\right|=|V|-\left|M^{*}\right|$.

## Proof.

- Every disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}$ in $G$ with $k$ paths corresponds to a matching in $G^{\prime}$ of size $|V|-k$.
- Construct a subset $M$ of edges in $G$ : include in $M$ the edge $\left(\ell_{u}, r_{v}\right)$ if $(u, v)$ is in a path in $\mathcal{P}$.
- Each node in $G$ has at most one outgoing edge in $\mathcal{P}$, so each node in $L$ is incident to at most one edge in $M$.
- Each node in $G$ has at most one incoming edge in $\mathcal{P}$, so each node in $R$ is incident to at most one edge in $M$.
- So $M$ is a matching, and since $\mathcal{P}$ has $|V|-k$ edges, $|M|=|V|-k$.


## Proof of reduction cont.

## Claim
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## Proof.

- Every disjoint-path cover $\mathcal{P}$ in $G$ with $k$ paths corresponds to a matching in $G^{\prime}$ of size $|V|-k$.
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Question: Where did we use that $G$ is acyclic?

