## Review of Last Lecture

- Massaging mixed-signed objectives
- Recognizing a min cut problem



## Learning Goal

- Project selection problem and its reduction to min cut
- Turning hard constraints into punishments in the objective
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- Let $P$ be a set of $n$ projects; doing project $i$ yields a profit $p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $p_{i}$ can be negative.
- Each project $i$ associated with a set $R_{i} \subset P$ : project $i$ can be done only if all projects in $R_{i}$ are done first.
- Objective: Choose a set of projects to maximize total profits, subject to the prerequisite requirements;
- Formally, choose a set $S \subseteq P$ that maximizes $\sum_{i \in S} p_{i}$, such that $R_{i} \subseteq S$ for every $i \in S$
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- Let $A$ be the set of projects with nonnegative profits, and $B$ the set with negative profits, then the profit of any $S \subseteq P$ is

$$
\sum_{i \in S \cap A} p_{i}-\sum_{i \in S \cap B}\left|p_{i}\right|
$$

- Adding a constant $\sum_{i \in B}\left|p_{i}\right|$, the objective becomes

$$
\max _{S \subseteq P} \sum_{i \in S \cap A} p_{i}+\sum_{i \in B \backslash S}\left|p_{i}\right| .
$$

- To reduce to min cut, we need a minimization problem:

$$
\min _{S \subseteq P} \sum_{i \in A \backslash S} p_{i}+\sum_{i \in S \cap B}\left|p_{i}\right| .
$$

## An illustration
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\min _{S \subseteq P} \sum_{i \in A \backslash S} p_{i}+\sum_{i \in S \cap B}\left|p_{i}\right| .
$$

- Add source $s$ and sink $t$ to $G$; add edge from $s$ to each $i \in A$ with capacity $p_{i}$, and edge to $t$ from each $i \in B$ with capacity $\left|p_{i}\right|$.
- Now for any $S \subseteq P, c(S \cup\{s\}, \bar{S} \cup\{t\})=\sum_{i \in A \backslash S} p_{i}+\sum_{i \in S \cap B}\left|p_{i}\right|$, i.e., nodes on the side of $s$ in the cut are the selected projects.
- How about the prerequisite requirements?
- If no edge in $G$ is in the cut we output, all prerequisite requirements are satisfied!
- Let all the original edges in $G$ carry infinite (or large enough) capacity.


## Illustration of flow network



## Illustration of a cut



## Last remark

- A commonly used idea when doing reductions among problems: convert "hard" constraints to "soft" ones. I.e., if in one problem, certain patterns are forbidden, then in the other problem, punish such patterns in the objective - when the punishment is high enough, such patterns are forbidden from the solution.


## Last remark

- A commonly used idea when doing reductions among problems: convert "hard" constraints to "soft" ones. I.e., if in one problem, certain patterns are forbidden, then in the other problem, punish such patterns in the objective - when the punishment is high enough, such patterns are forbidden from the solution.
- (Outside this course:) Recall Lagrangian multipliers from calculus. Hard constraints are softened into punishment in the objective, and the multipliers adjust how heavy the punishment is.

