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High-dimensional but sparse signals arise in many applications
> Image/media files are often sparse when expressed w.r.t. the right bases

- E.g. wavelet transform
$>\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{0} \leq s$. We say $\mathbf{x}$ is $s$-sparse
We often have linear measurements of such signals
$>\mathbf{y}=A \mathbf{x}$, where $A$ is a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, with $m \ll n$
(Given $\mathbf{y}$, can we recover $\mathbf{x}$ ? We can design both the measurements $A$ and the recovery algorithm.
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Recall that with Count Sketch, we were able to recover sparse signals

By taking the largest (in absolute value) $s$ coordinates of the sketch, with high probability, we get an $s$-sparse $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ s.t. $\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq(1+\epsilon) E_{2}^{s}(\mathbf{x})$
$\geqslant E_{2}^{s}(\mathbf{x})$ is the $\ell_{2}$-norm of $\mathbf{x}$ with its largest $k$ coordinates zeroed out
$\geqslant$ If $\mathbf{x}$ is $s$-sparse, with high probability $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is an exact recovery
$\geqslant$ Count Sketch consists of randomized linear measurements of $\mathbf{x} . \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is computed from them
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Non-uniform schemes: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{Pr}[\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ recovers $\mathbf{x}] \geq 1-\delta$
$>$ Count Sketch gives us such a guarantee
$\geqslant$ Uniform schemes: $\operatorname{Pr}\left[\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\right.$ recovers $\left.\mathbf{x}\right] \geq 1-\delta$
> Today: compressed sensing
> Pioneered by Candes \& Tao
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## THE HALLMARK OF CS

A natural optimization problem: given $\mathbf{y}=A \mathbf{x}$, find $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$, with $\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_{0}$ minimized, satisfying $A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{y}$
> This problem turns out NP-hard
Compressed sensing solves the following linear program instead:
$>\min \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_{1}$, s.t., $A \hat{\mathbf{x}}=\mathrm{y} . \quad\left({ }^{*}\right)$
$>$ This is solvable in polynomial time
>Intuitively, why is the solution to this LP a good recovery of $\mathbf{x}$ ?
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Theorem. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix $A$ whose entries are i.i.d. drawn from the standard Gaussian $N(0,1)$. There are constants $C$ and $c>0$ such that, if $m \geq C s \log (e n / s)$, then with probability at least $1-2 \exp (-c m)$, the random matrix $A$ satisfies the RIP with parameters $\alpha=0.9 \sqrt{m}, \beta=1.1 \sqrt{m}$ and $s$.

The proof requires building up a bit of theory on random matrices.
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Let $I_{0}$ be the support of $\mathbf{x}$ (the set of non-zero coordinates), so $\left|I_{0}\right| \leq s$.
Sort coordinates of $\mathbf{h}$ in $\bar{I}_{0}$ in absolute values. Let $I_{1}$ be the set of next largest $\lambda s$ coordinates, $I_{2}$ the next $\lambda s$ coordinates, and so on. Let $I_{0,1}$ be $I_{0} \cup I_{1}$
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