### **Distinct Elements**

- We are back to our basic streaming model:  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in [d] = \{1, \cdots, d\}.$
- The frequency vector  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ :  $x_j = |\{t : i_t = j\}|$ .

### **Distinct Elements**

- We are back to our basic streaming model:  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in [d] = \{1, \cdots, d\}.$
- The frequency vector  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ :  $x_j = |\{t : i_t = j\}|$ .
- Counting distinct elements: estimate ||x||₀ := |j : x<sub>j</sub> > 0| up to (1 + ε)-factor approximation.

< ロ > < 四 > < 回 > < 回 > 、

### **Distinct Elements**

- We are back to our basic streaming model:  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in [d] = \{1, \cdots, d\}.$
- The frequency vector  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ :  $x_j = |\{t : i_t = j\}|$ .
- Counting distinct elements: estimate ||x||<sub>0</sub> := |j : x<sub>j</sub> > 0| up to (1 + ε)-factor approximation.
- Again, we must use space  $O(\log d, \frac{1}{\epsilon})$ .

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう

• If we can make the distribution of {*i*<sub>*t*</sub>} uniform, then it is easier to estimate its size.

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- If we can make the distribution of {*i*<sub>*t*</sub>} uniform, then it is easier to estimate its size.
- E.g., if we can have an ideal hash function *h* from [*d*] to [0, 1], let *X* be the minimum  $h(i_t)$ , then  $\frac{1}{X}$  seems a reasonable estimate.

(日)

- If we can make the distribution of {*i*<sub>*t*</sub>} uniform, then it is easier to estimate its size.
- E.g., if we can have an ideal hash function *h* from [*d*] to [0, 1], let *X* be the minimum  $h(i_t)$ , then  $\frac{1}{X}$  seems a reasonable estimate.
- Indeed, suppose we have i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_\ell$  uniformly distributed on [0, 1], let the smallest be  $X_{(1)}$ .

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

- If we can make the distribution of {*i*<sub>*t*</sub>} uniform, then it is easier to estimate its size.
- E.g., if we can have an ideal hash function *h* from [*d*] to [0, 1], let *X* be the minimum  $h(i_t)$ , then  $\frac{1}{x}$  seems a reasonable estimate.
- Indeed, suppose we have i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_\ell$  uniformly distributed on [0, 1], let the smallest be  $X_{(1)}$ .
  - $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq X_{(\ell)}$  are called *order statistics*.

・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ア・ ・ ア・ ア

- If we can make the distribution of {*i*<sub>t</sub>} uniform, then it is easier to estimate its size.
- E.g., if we can have an ideal hash function *h* from [*d*] to [0, 1], let *X* be the minimum  $h(i_t)$ , then  $\frac{1}{X}$  seems a reasonable estimate.
- Indeed, suppose we have i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_\ell$  uniformly distributed on [0, 1], let the smallest be  $X_{(1)}$ .
  - $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq X_{(\ell)}$  are called *order statistics*.
  - The distribution of  $X_{(1)}$  is a so-called *Beta distribution B*(1,  $\ell$ ). We have  $\mathbf{E}[X_{(1)}] = \frac{1}{\ell+1}$ .

- If we can make the distribution of {*i*<sub>t</sub>} uniform, then it is easier to estimate its size.
- E.g., if we can have an ideal hash function *h* from [*d*] to [0, 1], let *X* be the minimum  $h(i_t)$ , then  $\frac{1}{x}$  seems a reasonable estimate.
- Indeed, suppose we have i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_\ell$  uniformly distributed on [0, 1], let the smallest be  $X_{(1)}$ .
  - $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq X_{(\ell)}$  are called *order statistics*.
  - The distribution of  $X_{(1)}$  is a so-called *Beta distribution B*(1,  $\ell$ ). We have  $\mathbf{E}[X_{(1)}] = \frac{1}{\ell+1}$ .
- Therefore,  $\frac{1}{X} 1$  is an unbiased estimator of  $||x||_0$ .

- If we can make the distribution of {*i*<sub>t</sub>} uniform, then it is easier to estimate its size.
- E.g., if we can have an ideal hash function *h* from [*d*] to [0, 1], let *X* be the minimum  $h(i_t)$ , then  $\frac{1}{X}$  seems a reasonable estimate.
- Indeed, suppose we have i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_\ell$  uniformly distributed on [0, 1], let the smallest be  $X_{(1)}$ .
  - $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq X_{(\ell)}$  are called *order statistics*.
  - The distribution of  $X_{(1)}$  is a so-called *Beta distribution B*(1,  $\ell$ ). We have  $\mathbf{E}[X_{(1)}] = \frac{1}{\ell+1}$ .
- Therefore,  $\frac{1}{X} 1$  is an unbiased estimator of  $||x||_0$ .

• Var
$$[X_{(1)}] = \frac{\ell}{(\ell+1)^2(\ell+2)} \le \frac{1}{(\ell+1)^2}.$$

- If we can make the distribution of {*i*<sub>t</sub>} uniform, then it is easier to estimate its size.
- E.g., if we can have an ideal hash function *h* from [*d*] to [0, 1], let *X* be the minimum  $h(i_t)$ , then  $\frac{1}{X}$  seems a reasonable estimate.
- Indeed, suppose we have i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_\ell$  uniformly distributed on [0, 1], let the smallest be  $X_{(1)}$ .
  - $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq X_{(\ell)}$  are called *order statistics*.
  - The distribution of  $X_{(1)}$  is a so-called *Beta distribution B*(1,  $\ell$ ). We have  $\mathbf{E}[X_{(1)}] = \frac{1}{\ell+1}$ .
- Therefore,  $\frac{1}{X} 1$  is an unbiased estimator of  $||x||_0$ .
- $\operatorname{Var}[X_{(1)}] = \frac{\ell}{(\ell+1)^2(\ell+2)} \le \frac{1}{(\ell+1)^2}.$
- We can apply the Chebyshev bound, although the variance is a bit too large for our purpose.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● のへで

• Maintain *k* independent, ideal hash functions.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

- Maintain k independent, ideal hash functions.
- For each hash function  $h_i$ , store  $Z_i = \min_t \{h_i(i_t)\}$ , the smallest address used throughout the stream.

ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

- Maintain k independent, ideal hash functions.
- For each hash function  $h_i$ , store  $Z_i = \min_t \{h_i(i_t)\}$ , the smallest address used throughout the stream.
- Take the median of  $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$ . Use it to estimate  $||x||_0$ . (See reading material for details.)
- This algorithm assumes we have access to ideal hash functions.

- Maintain k independent, ideal hash functions.
- For each hash function  $h_i$ , store  $Z_i = \min_t \{h_i(i_t)\}$ , the smallest address used throughout the stream.
- Take the median of  $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$ . Use it to estimate  $||x||_0$ . (See reading material for details.)
- This algorithm assumes we have access to ideal hash functions.
- Ideas for improvement:
  - Use real hash functions. Discretize the range. Possibly use *k*-wise independent hash family for appropriate *k*.

- Maintain k independent, ideal hash functions.
- For each hash function  $h_i$ , store  $Z_i = \min_t \{h_i(i_t)\}$ , the smallest address used throughout the stream.
- Take the median of  $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$ . Use it to estimate  $||x||_0$ . (See reading material for details.)
- This algorithm assumes we have access to ideal hash functions.
- Ideas for improvement:
  - Use real hash functions. Discretize the range. Possibly use *k*-wise independent hash family for appropriate *k*.
  - The minimum of  $h(i_t)$  tends to be voltaile: a single bad event ruins the estimate.

・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ア・ ・ ア・ ア

- Maintain k independent, ideal hash functions.
- For each hash function  $h_i$ , store  $Z_i = \min_t \{h_i(i_t)\}$ , the smallest address used throughout the stream.
- Take the median of  $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$ . Use it to estimate  $||x||_0$ . (See reading material for details.)
- This algorithm assumes we have access to ideal hash functions.
- Ideas for improvement:
  - Use real hash functions. Discretize the range. Possibly use *k*-wise independent hash family for appropriate *k*.
  - The minimum of *h*(*i*<sub>t</sub>) tends to be voltaile: a single bad event ruins the estimate.
  - To make the estimate more stable, we may keep track of more than one smallest hash values.



The following KMV (*k* minimum values) algorithm is due to Bar-Yossef, Jayram, Kumar, Sivakumar and Trevisan (2002).

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The following KMV (*k* minimum values) algorithm is due to Bar-Yossef, Jayram, Kumar, Sivakumar and Trevisan (2002).

• Sample a hash function *h* from a pairwise independent hash family mapping [d] to [D], for  $D \in [d^3, 2d^3]$  that is a power of 2.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The following KMV (*k* minimum values) algorithm is due to Bar-Yossef, Jayram, Kumar, Sivakumar and Trevisan (2002).

- Sample a hash function *h* from a pairwise independent hash family mapping [d] to [D], for  $D \in [d^3, 2d^3]$  that is a power of 2.
- Initialize *S* to  $\emptyset$ . Set  $t = 12/\delta\epsilon^2$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The following KMV (*k* minimum values) algorithm is due to Bar-Yossef, Jayram, Kumar, Sivakumar and Trevisan (2002).

- Sample a hash function *h* from a pairwise independent hash family mapping [d] to [D], for  $D \in [d^3, 2d^3]$  that is a power of 2.
- Initialize *S* to  $\emptyset$ . Set  $t = 12/\delta \epsilon^2$ .
- When *i<sub>j</sub>* arrives,
  - If |S| < t, then add  $h(i_j)$  to S;
  - Otherwise, only if h(i<sub>j</sub>) < y, ∀y ∈ S, add h(i<sub>j</sub>) to S and remove the largest element of S.

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

The following KMV (*k* minimum values) algorithm is due to Bar-Yossef, Jayram, Kumar, Sivakumar and Trevisan (2002).

- Sample a hash function *h* from a pairwise independent hash family mapping [d] to [D], for  $D \in [d^3, 2d^3]$  that is a power of 2.
- Initialize *S* to  $\emptyset$ . Set  $t = 12/\delta \epsilon^2$ .
- When *i<sub>j</sub>* arrives,
  - If |S| < t, then add  $h(i_j)$  to S;
  - Otherwise, only if h(i<sub>j</sub>) < y, ∀y ∈ S, add h(i<sub>j</sub>) to S and remove the largest element of S.
- For output at the end:
  - If |S| < t, return |S|.

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

The following KMV (*k* minimum values) algorithm is due to Bar-Yossef, Jayram, Kumar, Sivakumar and Trevisan (2002).

- Sample a hash function *h* from a pairwise independent hash family mapping [d] to [D], for  $D \in [d^3, 2d^3]$  that is a power of 2.
- Initialize *S* to  $\emptyset$ . Set  $t = 12/\delta \epsilon^2$ .
- When *i<sub>j</sub>* arrives,
  - If |S| < t, then add  $h(i_j)$  to S;
  - Otherwise, only if h(i<sub>j</sub>) < y, ∀y ∈ S, add h(i<sub>j</sub>) to S and remove the largest element of S.
- For output at the end:
  - If |S| < t, return |S|.
  - Otherwise, let X be the largest element in S, return  $\frac{tD}{X}$ .

#### Proposition

### If *Y* is a Bernoulli random variable, then $Var[Y] \leq Pr[Y = 1]$ .

E ▶ 《 E ▶ E ∽ Q ○

 October 15, 2023
 5/8

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

#### Proposition

If *Y* is a Bernoulli random variable, then  $Var[Y] \leq Pr[Y = 1]$ .

#### Proof.

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y] = \mathbf{E}[Y^2] - \mathbf{E}[Y]^2 \le \mathbf{E}[Y^2] = \mathbf{Pr}[Y=1].$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

#### Proposition

If *Y* is a Bernoulli random variable, then  $Var[Y] \leq Pr[Y = 1]$ .

#### Proof.

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y] = \mathbf{E}[Y^2] - \mathbf{E}[Y]^2 \le \mathbf{E}[Y^2] = \mathbf{Pr}[Y=1].$$

- Let's denote  $\ell \coloneqq ||x||_0$ , assume  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $d > \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 \delta}$ .
- First case of output: if |S| < t, what's the chance that  $||x||_0 > |S|$ ?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Proposition

If *Y* is a Bernoulli random variable, then  $Var[Y] \leq Pr[Y = 1]$ .

#### Proof.

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y] = \mathbf{E}[Y^2] - \mathbf{E}[Y]^2 \le \mathbf{E}[Y^2] = \mathbf{Pr}[Y=1].$$

- Let's denote  $\ell \coloneqq ||x||_0$ , assume  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $d > \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 \delta}$ .
- First case of output: if |S| < t, what's the chance that  $||x||_0 > |S|$ ?
  - For any pair of indices, they are mapped to the same address with probability  $\frac{1}{D}$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Proposition

If *Y* is a Bernoulli random variable, then  $Var[Y] \leq Pr[Y = 1]$ .

#### Proof.

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y] = \mathbf{E}[Y^2] - \mathbf{E}[Y]^2 \le \mathbf{E}[Y^2] = \mathbf{Pr}[Y=1].$$

- Let's denote  $\ell \coloneqq ||x||_0$ , assume  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $d > \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 \delta}$ .
- First case of output: if |S| < t, what's the chance that  $||x||_0 > |S|$ ?
  - For any pair of indices, they are mapped to the same address with probability  $\frac{1}{D}$ .
  - There are  $\binom{\ell}{2}$  pairs, so the probability that any clash happens is  $\leq \binom{\ell}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \leq \frac{1}{d}$ . (Recall  $D \geq d^3$ .)

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

#### Proposition

If *Y* is a Bernoulli random variable, then  $Var[Y] \leq Pr[Y = 1]$ .

#### Proof.

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y] = \mathbf{E}[Y^2] - \mathbf{E}[Y]^2 \le \mathbf{E}[Y^2] = \mathbf{Pr}[Y=1].$$

- Let's denote  $\ell \coloneqq ||x||_0$ , assume  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $d > \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 \delta}$ .
- First case of output: if |S| < t, what's the chance that  $||x||_0 > |S|$ ?
  - For any pair of indices, they are mapped to the same address with probability  $\frac{1}{D}$ .
  - There are  $\binom{\ell}{2}$  pairs, so the probability that any clash happens is  $\leq \binom{\ell}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \leq \frac{1}{d}$ . (Recall  $D \geq d^3$ .)
  - So the output is exactly correct w.p.  $1 \frac{1}{d}$ .

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

#### Proposition

If *Y* is a Bernoulli random variable, then  $Var[Y] \leq Pr[Y = 1]$ .

#### Proof.

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y] = \mathbf{E}[Y^2] - \mathbf{E}[Y]^2 \le \mathbf{E}[Y^2] = \mathbf{Pr}[Y=1].$$

- Let's denote  $\ell \coloneqq ||x||_0$ , assume  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $d > \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 \delta}$ .
- First case of output: if |S| < t, what's the chance that  $||x||_0 > |S|$ ?
  - For any pair of indices, they are mapped to the same address with probability  $\frac{1}{D}$ .
  - There are  $\binom{\ell}{2}$  pairs, so the probability that any clash happens is  $\leq \binom{\ell}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \leq \frac{1}{d}$ . (Recall  $D \geq d^3$ .)
  - So the output is exactly correct w.p.  $1 \frac{1}{d}$ .

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

• The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .

イロト 人間 とくほとく

- The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .
- Recall: *X* is the largest element in *S*. We'll bound  $\Pr[|\frac{tD}{X} \ell| > \epsilon \ell]$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

- The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .
- Recall: *X* is the largest element in *S*. We'll bound  $\Pr[|\frac{tD}{X} \ell| > \epsilon \ell]$ .
- Consider the event  $\frac{tD}{X} > (1 + \epsilon)\ell$ .

・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .
- Recall: *X* is the largest element in *S*. We'll bound  $\Pr[|\frac{tD}{X} \ell| > \epsilon \ell]$ .
- Consider the event  $\frac{tD}{X} > (1 + \epsilon)\ell$ .
- This happens only if more than *t* of the  $\ell$  elements are hashed to addresses smaller than  $X < \frac{tD}{(1+\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</td>

- The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .
- Recall: *X* is the largest element in *S*. We'll bound  $\Pr[|\frac{tD}{X} \ell| > \epsilon \ell]$ .
- Consider the event  $\frac{tD}{X} > (1 + \epsilon)\ell$ .
- This happens only if more than *t* of the  $\ell$  elements are hashed to addresses smaller than  $X < \frac{tD}{(1+\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- W.l.o.g let the  $\ell$  elements be  $1, \dots, \ell$ , and let  $Z_i$  be the indicator variable for the event  $h(i) < \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</td>

- The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .
- Recall: *X* is the largest element in *S*. We'll bound  $\Pr[|\frac{tD}{X} \ell| > \epsilon \ell]$ .
- Consider the event  $\frac{tD}{X} > (1 + \epsilon)\ell$ .
- This happens only if more than *t* of the  $\ell$  elements are hashed to addresses smaller than  $X < \frac{tD}{(1+\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- W.l.o.g let the  $\ell$  elements be  $1, \dots, \ell$ , and let  $Z_i$  be the indicator variable for the event  $h(i) < \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- Then  $\mathbf{E}[Z_i] = (1 \epsilon/2)t/\ell$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

#### Analysis: The interesting case

- The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .
- Recall: *X* is the largest element in *S*. We'll bound  $\Pr[|\frac{tD}{X} \ell| > \epsilon \ell]$ .
- Consider the event  $\frac{tD}{X} > (1 + \epsilon)\ell$ .
- This happens only if more than *t* of the  $\ell$  elements are hashed to addresses smaller than  $X < \frac{tD}{(1+\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- W.l.o.g let the  $\ell$  elements be  $1, \dots, \ell$ , and let  $Z_i$  be the indicator variable for the event  $h(i) < \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- Then  $E[Z_i] = (1 \epsilon/2)t/\ell$ .
- The bad event is  $Z \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i \ge t$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Analysis: The interesting case

- The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .
- Recall: *X* is the largest element in *S*. We'll bound  $\Pr[|\frac{tD}{X} \ell| > \epsilon \ell]$ .
- Consider the event  $\frac{tD}{X} > (1 + \epsilon)\ell$ .
- This happens only if more than *t* of the  $\ell$  elements are hashed to addresses smaller than  $X < \frac{tD}{(1+\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- W.l.o.g let the  $\ell$  elements be  $1, \dots, \ell$ , and let  $Z_i$  be the indicator variable for the event  $h(i) < \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- Then  $\mathbf{E}[Z_i] = (1 \epsilon/2)t/\ell$ .
- The bad event is  $Z \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i \ge t$ .
- $\operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq \operatorname{Pr}[Z_i] = (1 \frac{\epsilon}{2})t/\ell.$

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</td>

### Analysis: The interesting case

- The interesting case:  $|S| \ge t$ .
- Recall: *X* is the largest element in *S*. We'll bound  $\Pr[|\frac{tD}{X} \ell| > \epsilon \ell]$ .
- Consider the event  $\frac{tD}{X} > (1 + \epsilon)\ell$ .
- This happens only if more than *t* of the  $\ell$  elements are hashed to addresses smaller than  $X < \frac{tD}{(1+\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- W.l.o.g let the  $\ell$  elements be  $1, \dots, \ell$ , and let  $Z_i$  be the indicator variable for the event  $h(i) < \frac{(1-\epsilon/2)tD}{\ell}$ .
- Then  $\mathbf{E}[Z_i] = (1 \epsilon/2)t/\ell$ .
- The bad event is  $Z \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i \ge t$ .
- $\operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq \operatorname{Pr}[Z_i] = (1 \frac{\epsilon}{2})t/\ell.$
- By pairwise independence we have  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] = \sum_{i} \operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq t$ .

・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ア・ ・ ア・ ア

• We have so far  $\{\frac{tD}{X} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\} \Rightarrow \{Z \ge t\}, \mathbb{E}[Z] \le (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ , and  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le t$ .

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ● □ ● ● ●

- We have so far  $\{\frac{tD}{X} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\} \Rightarrow \{Z \ge t\}, \mathbb{E}[Z] \le (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ , and  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le t$ .
- By Chebysheve inequality, we have

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{X} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z \ge t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ の々で

- We have so far  $\{\frac{tD}{X} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\} \Rightarrow \{Z \ge t\}, \mathbb{E}[Z] \le (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ , and  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le t$ .
- By Chebysheve inequality, we have

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{X} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z \ge t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

Almost symmetrically, the event { tD/X < (1 − ε)ℓ} happens only if fewer than t of the ℓ elements are hashed to addresses smaller than X > tD/((1-ε)ℓ).

<ロ> <同> <同> <目> <日> <同> <日> <同> <日> <日> <同> <日> <日> <同> <日</p>

- We have so far  $\{\frac{tD}{X} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\} \Rightarrow \{Z \ge t\}, \mathbb{E}[Z] \le (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ , and  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le t$ .
- By Chebysheve inequality, we have

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{X} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z \ge t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

- Almost symmetrically, the event { tD/X < (1 − ε)ℓ} happens only if fewer than t of the ℓ elements are hashed to addresses smaller than X > tD/((1-ε)ℓ).
- Let  $Z_i$  be the indicator variable for the event  $h(i) < \frac{tD}{(1-\epsilon)\ell}$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

- We have so far  $\{\frac{tD}{X} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\} \Rightarrow \{Z \ge t\}, \mathbb{E}[Z] \le (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ , and  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le t$ .
- By Chebysheve inequality, we have

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{\chi} > (1+\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z \ge t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

- Almost symmetrically, the event {tD/X < (1 − ε)ℓ} happens only if fewer than t of the ℓ elements are hashed to addresses smaller than X > tD/((1-ε)ℓ).
- Let  $Z_i$  be the indicator variable for the event  $h(i) < \frac{tD}{(1-\epsilon)\ell}$ .

$$\frac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} \ge \mathbf{E}\left[Z_i\right] \ge \frac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} - \frac{1}{D} \ge \frac{(1+\epsilon)t}{\ell} - \frac{1}{D} \ge \frac{(1+\epsilon/2)t}{\ell}.$$

$$\operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq \operatorname{\mathsf{E}}[Z_i] \leq rac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} \leq rac{2t}{\ell}.$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

$$\operatorname{Var} [Z_i] \leq \mathbf{E} [Z_i] \leq \frac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{2t}{\ell}.$$
  
Let Z be  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i$ , then  $\mathbf{E}[Z] \geq (1+\frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \leq 2t$ .

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

$$\operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq \mathbf{E}[Z_i] \leq \frac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{2t}{\ell}.$$

Let Z be  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i$ , then  $\mathbf{E}[Z] \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le 2t$ . By Chebyshev inequality,

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{\chi} < (1-\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z < t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{8}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{2\delta}{3}.$$

$$\operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq \mathbf{E}[Z_i] \leq \frac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{2t}{\ell}.$$

Let Z be  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i$ , then  $\mathbf{E}[Z] \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le 2t$ . By Chebyshev inequality,

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{X} < (1-\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z < t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{8}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{2\delta}{3}.$$
Combining everything, we have that with probability at least  $1-\delta$ ,  $\left|\frac{tD}{X} - \ell\right| \le \epsilon \ell.$ 

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

$$\operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq \mathbf{E}[Z_i] \leq \frac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{2t}{\ell}.$$

Let Z be  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i$ , then  $\mathbf{E}[Z] \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le 2t$ . By Chebyshev inequality,

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{\chi} < (1-\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z < t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{8}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{2\delta}{3}.$$
Combining everything, we have that with probability at least  $1-\delta$ ,  $\left|\frac{tD}{\chi} - \ell\right| \le \epsilon \ell.$ Space usage:

• Storing the hash takes space  $O(\log D) = O(\log d)$ .

Spac

$$\operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq \mathbf{E}[Z_i] \leq \frac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{2t}{\ell}.$$

Let Z be  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i$ , then  $\mathbf{E}[Z] \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le 2t$ . By Chebyshev inequality,

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{\chi} < (1-\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z < t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{8}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{2\delta}{3}.$$
Combining everything, we have that with probability at least  $1-\delta$ ,  $\left|\frac{tD}{\chi} - \ell\right| \le \epsilon \ell.$ Space usage:

- Storing the hash takes space  $O(\log D) = O(\log d)$ .
- Storing S takes space  $tO(\log D) = O(\frac{\log d}{c^{2\delta}})$ .

Spac

$$\operatorname{Var}[Z_i] \leq \mathbf{E}[Z_i] \leq \frac{t}{(1-\epsilon)\ell} \leq \frac{2t}{\ell}.$$

Let Z be  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Z_i$ , then  $\mathbf{E}[Z] \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2})t$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[Z] \le 2t$ . By Chebyshev inequality,

$$\Pr\left[\frac{tD}{X} < (1-\epsilon)\ell\right] \le \Pr\left[Z < t\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Z]}{(\epsilon t/2)^2} \le \frac{8}{\epsilon^2 t} \le \frac{2\delta}{3}.$$
Combining everything, we have that with probability at least  $1-\delta$ ,  $\left|\frac{tD}{X} - \ell\right| \le \epsilon \ell.$ Space usage:

- Storing the hash takes space  $O(\log D) = O(\log d)$ .
- Storing S takes space  $tO(\log D) = O(\frac{\log d}{c^{2\delta}})$ .
- The optimal algorithm uses space  $O(\log d + \epsilon^{-2})!$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >