

Learning Goals

- A simple randomized algorithm computing min cuts
- Las Vegas and Monte Carlo algorithms
- Conditional probabilities and their use in the analysis of randomized algorithms
- Improving the precision of a randomized algorithm by repetition

The Min Cut Problem

- A problem we saw in the midterm: n people attended a conference, and some pairs of them shook hands with each other; find a set S of people, so that the number of handshakes between people in S and those not is *minimized*.

The Min Cut Problem

- A problem we saw in the midterm: n people attended a conference, and some pairs of them shook hands with each other; find a set S of people, so that the number of handshakes between people in S and those not is *minimized*.
- Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, for $S \subsetneq V$, $S \neq \emptyset$, let $c(S)$ be $|\{(u, v) \in E : u \in S, v \notin S\}|$, called the volume of the cut (S, \bar{S}) .

The Min Cut Problem

- A problem we saw in the midterm: n people attended a conference, and some pairs of them shook hands with each other; find a set S of people, so that the number of handshakes between people in S and those not in S is *minimized*.
- Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, for $S \subsetneq V$, $S \neq \emptyset$, let $c(S)$ be $|\{(u, v) \in E : u \in S, v \notin S\}|$, called the volume of the cut (S, \bar{S}) .
- Question: find S that minimizes $c(S)$.

The Min Cut Problem

- A problem we saw in the midterm: n people attended a conference, and some pairs of them shook hands with each other; find a set S of people, so that the number of handshakes between people in S and those not is *minimized*.
- Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, for $S \subsetneq V$, $S \neq \emptyset$, let $c(S)$ be $|\{(u, v) \in E : u \in S, v \notin S\}|$, called the volume of the cut (S, \bar{S}) .
- Question: find S that minimizes $c(S)$.
- Deterministic algorithm: fix $s \in V$, then for each $t \neq s$, find the minimum number of edge-disjoint paths from s to t , corresponding to an “ s - t ” cut with a volume equal to the number of such paths. Take the one with the smallest volume.

A simple randomized algorithm due to David Karger

- choose an edge (u, v) uniformly at random and *contract* it: merge u and v into a new node w , which inherits all other edges incident to u or v .
 - The resulting graph may have parallel edges: if $(s, u), (s, v) \in E$, then there are two parallel edges between s and w in the new graph.

A simple randomized algorithm due to David Karger

- choose an edge (u, v) uniformly at random and *contract* it: merge u and v into a new node w , which inherits all other edges incident to u or v .
 - The resulting graph may have parallel edges: if $(s, u), (s, v) \in E$, then there are two parallel edges between s and w in the new graph.
- Repeat this until there are two only nodes, corresponding to a partition of V .

A simple randomized algorithm due to David Karger

- choose an edge (u, v) uniformly at random and *contract* it: merge u and v into a new node w , which inherits all other edges incident to u or v .
 - The resulting graph may have parallel edges: if $(s, u), (s, v) \in E$, then there are two parallel edges between s and w in the new graph.
- Repeat this until there are two only nodes, corresponding to a partition of V .

Theorem

The algorithm finds a minimum cut with probability at least $1/\binom{n}{2}$, where $n = |V|$.

Two types of randomized algorithms

- A randomized algorithm is *Las Vegas* if it always returns a correct solution, but its running time may be randomized.
 - Example: quick sort

Two types of randomized algorithms

- A randomized algorithm is *Las Vegas* if it always returns a correct solution, but its running time may be randomized.
 - Example: quick sort
- A randomized algorithm is *Monte Carlo* if it may return incorrect solutions sometimes.

Two types of randomized algorithms

- A randomized algorithm is *Las Vegas* if it always returns a correct solution, but its running time may be randomized.
 - Example: quick sort
- A randomized algorithm is *Monte Carlo* if it may return incorrect solutions sometimes.
- The min cut algorithm we just saw is Monte Carlo.

Two types of randomized algorithms

- A randomized algorithm is *Las Vegas* if it always returns a correct solution, but its running time may be randomized.
 - Example: quick sort
- A randomized algorithm is *Monte Carlo* if it may return incorrect solutions sometimes.
- The min cut algorithm we just saw is Monte Carlo.
- How do we use Monte Carlo algorithms?

Two types of randomized algorithms

- A randomized algorithm is *Las Vegas* if it always returns a correct solution, but its running time may be randomized.
 - Example: quick sort
- A randomized algorithm is *Monte Carlo* if it may return incorrect solutions sometimes.
- The min cut algorithm we just saw is Monte Carlo.
- How do we use Monte Carlo algorithms?
- Repeat many times independently, and take the best solution: with high probability it is optimal.

Two types of randomized algorithms

- A randomized algorithm is *Las Vegas* if it always returns a correct solution, but its running time may be randomized.
 - Example: quick sort
- A randomized algorithm is *Monte Carlo* if it may return incorrect solutions sometimes.
- The min cut algorithm we just saw is Monte Carlo.
- How do we use Monte Carlo algorithms?
- Repeat many times independently, and take the best solution: with high probability it is optimal.
- Example: for the min cut algorithm, repeat $n^2 \log n$ times, the probability that no correct solution shows up is $\leq 1/n$.

Analysis of the algorithm

- Let C be the set of edges in a min cut. Let k be $|C|$.

Analysis of the algorithm

- Let C be the set of edges in a min cut. Let k be $|C|$.
- Observation: the degree of any node is at least k .

Analysis of the algorithm

- Let C be the set of edges in a min cut. Let k be $|C|$.
- Observation: the degree of any node is at least k .
 - This is still true after contracting an edge.

Analysis of the algorithm

- Let C be the set of edges in a min cut. Let k be $|C|$.
- Observation: the degree of any node is at least k .
 - This is still true after contracting an edge.
- Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the event that, in the first step, an edge not in C is chosen.

$$\Pr [\overline{\mathcal{E}_1}] \leq \frac{k}{|E|} \leq \frac{k}{\frac{nk}{2}} = \frac{2}{n}.$$

Analysis of the algorithm

- Let C be the set of edges in a min cut. Let k be $|C|$.
- Observation: the degree of any node is at least k .
 - This is still true after contracting an edge.
- Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the event that, in the first step, an edge not in C is chosen.

$$\Pr[\overline{\mathcal{E}_1}] \leq \frac{k}{|E|} \leq \frac{k}{\frac{nk}{2}} = \frac{2}{n}.$$

- Let \mathcal{E}_i be the event that, in the i -th step, an edge not in C is chosen. Then we need to show $\Pr[\cap_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathcal{E}_i] \geq 1/\binom{n}{2}$.

Analysis of the algorithm

- Let C be the set of edges in a min cut. Let k be $|C|$.
- Observation: the degree of any node is at least k .
 - This is still true after contracting an edge.
- Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the event that, in the first step, an edge not in C is chosen.

$$\Pr[\overline{\mathcal{E}_1}] \leq \frac{k}{|E|} \leq \frac{k}{\frac{nk}{2}} = \frac{2}{n}.$$

- Let \mathcal{E}_i be the event that, in the i -th step, an edge not in C is chosen. Then we need to show $\Pr[\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathcal{E}_i] \geq 1/\binom{n}{2}$.
- ~~The minimum degree never decreases after an edge is contracted.~~ **As long as no edge in C is contracted, C remains a min cut.**

Brief review of conditional probabilities

- The probability of event A happening *conditioning* on event B is

$$\Pr[A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

Brief review of conditional probabilities

- The probability of event A happening *conditioning* on event B is

$$\Pr[A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

- Example 1: toss two dices, let A be the event “the sum of points is 10”, and B the event “the first dice turns up 6”. Then $\Pr[A | B] = 1/6$.

Brief review of conditional probabilities

- The probability of event A happening *conditioning* on event B is

$$\Pr[A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

- Example 1: toss two dices, let A be the event “the sum of points is 10”, and B the event “the first dice turns up 6”. Then $\Pr[A | B] = 1/6$.
- Example 2: If A and B are independent, then $\Pr[A | B] = \Pr[A]$.

Brief review of conditional probabilities

- The probability of event A happening *conditioning* on event B is

$$\Pr[A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

- Example 1: toss two dices, let A be the event “the sum of points is 10”, and B the event “the first dice turns up 6”. Then $\Pr[A | B] = 1/6$.
- Example 2: If A and B are independent, then $\Pr[A | B] = \Pr[A]$.
- Example 3: Your neighbor has two kids. Conditioning on the elder one being a boy, what’s the probability that the younger one is a boy? (For this question, assume binary gender, and that each kid is a boy with probability $1/2$, independently.)

Brief review of conditional probabilities

- The probability of event A happening *conditioning* on event B is

$$\Pr [A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

- Example 1: toss two dices, let A be the event “the sum of points is 10”, and B the event “the first dice turns up 6”. Then $\Pr[A | B] = 1/6$.
- Example 2: If A and B are independent, then $\Pr[A | B] = \Pr[A]$.
- Example 3: Your neighbor has two kids. Conditioning on the elder one being a boy, what’s the probability that the younger one is a boy? (For this question, assume binary gender, and that each kid is a boy with probability $1/2$, independently.)
- Example 4: Total probability rule:

$$\Pr [A] = \Pr [A | B] \Pr [B] + \Pr [A | \bar{B}] \Pr [\bar{B}].$$

Brief review of conditional probabilities

- The probability of event A happening *conditioning* on event B is

$$\Pr[A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

- Example 1: toss two dices, let A be the event “the sum of points is 10”, and B the event “the first dice turns up 6”. Then $\Pr[A | B] = 1/6$.
- Example 2: If A and B are independent, then $\Pr[A | B] = \Pr[A]$.
- Example 3': Your neighbor has two kids. Conditioning on one of them being a boy, what is the probability that the other one is a boy?

Brief review of conditional probabilities

- The probability of event A happening *conditioning* on event B is

$$\Pr[A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

- Example 1: toss two dices, let A be the event “the sum of points is 10”, and B the event “the first dice turns up 6”. Then $\Pr[A | B] = 1/6$.
- Example 2: If A and B are independent, then $\Pr[A | B] = \Pr[A]$.
- Example 3': Your neighbor has two kids. Conditioning on one of them being a boy, what is the probability that the other one is a boy?
 - Answer: $1/3$. A less deceptive version: Conditioning on that not both of them are girls, what is the probability that both are boys?

Brief review of conditional probabilities

- The probability of event A happening *conditioning* on event B is

$$\Pr[A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

- Example 1: toss two dices, let A be the event “the sum of points is 10”, and B the event “the first dice turns up 6”. Then $\Pr[A | B] = 1/6$.
- Example 2: If A and B are independent, then $\Pr[A | B] = \Pr[A]$.
- Example 3: Your neighbor has two kids. Conditioning on one of them being a boy, what is the probability that the other one is a boy?
 - Answer: $1/3$. A less deceptive version: Conditioning on that not both of them are girls, what is the probability that both are boys?
 - Let the atom events be BB, BG, GB, GG, each with probability $1/4$. Then event A , “one of them is a boy”, is $\{BB, BG, GB\}$, and event B , “both are boys”, is $\{BB\}$. So $\Pr[B | A] = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A|} = 1/3$.

Using Conditional Probabilities

- Key observation: conditioning on $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_i$,
 $\Pr[\mathcal{E}_{i+1} \mid \mathcal{E}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{E}_i] \geq 1 - \frac{2}{n-i}$.

Using Conditional Probabilities

- Key observation: conditioning on $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_i$,
 $\Pr[\mathcal{E}_{i+1} \mid \mathcal{E}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{E}_i] \geq 1 - \frac{2}{n-i}$.
- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr \left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathcal{E}_i \right] &= \Pr [\mathcal{E}_1] \cdot \Pr [\mathcal{E}_2 \mid \mathcal{E}_1] \cdots \Pr [\mathcal{E}_{n-2} \mid \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-3} \mathcal{E}_i] \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n-1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-1} \cdot \frac{n-4}{n-2} \cdots \frac{2}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$