Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), a set of edges  $M \subseteq E$  is a *matching* if each node in V is incident to at most one edge in M.

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), a set of edges  $M \subseteq E$  is a *matching* if each node in V is incident to at most one edge in M.

- Input: a bipartite graph G = (U, V, E). (Recall: this means all edges have one node in U and the other in V.)
- Output: a matching M with the maximum cardinality.

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), a set of edges  $M \subseteq E$  is a *matching* if each node in V is incident to at most one edge in M.

- Input: a bipartite graph G = (U, V, E). (Recall: this means all edges have one node in U and the other in V.)
- Output: a matching *M* with the maximum cardinality.
- *Reduce* the problem to a max flow problem.

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), a set of edges  $M \subseteq E$  is a *matching* if each node in V is incident to at most one edge in M.

- Input: a bipartite graph G = (U, V, E). (Recall: this means all edges have one node in U and the other in V.)
- Output: a matching *M* with the maximum cardinality.
- Reduce the problem to a max flow problem.
  - Add a source s connected to all nodes in U, and a sink t connected to all nodes in V.

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), a set of edges  $M \subseteq E$  is a *matching* if each node in V is incident to at most one edge in M.

- Input: a bipartite graph G = (U, V, E). (Recall: this means all edges have one node in U and the other in V.)
- Output: a matching *M* with the maximum cardinality.
- Reduce the problem to a max flow problem.
  - Add a source s connected to all nodes in U, and a sink t connected to all nodes in V.
  - Direct the edges from s to U to V to t.

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), a set of edges  $M \subseteq E$  is a *matching* if each node in V is incident to at most one edge in M.

- Input: a bipartite graph G = (U, V, E). (Recall: this means all edges have one node in U and the other in V.)
- Output: a matching *M* with the maximum cardinality.
- Reduce the problem to a max flow problem.
  - Add a source s connected to all nodes in U, and a sink t connected to all nodes in V.
  - Direct the edges from s to U to V to t.
  - Let all capacities be 1.

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), a set of edges  $M \subseteq E$  is a *matching* if each node in V is incident to at most one edge in M.

- Input: a bipartite graph G = (U, V, E). (Recall: this means all edges have one node in U and the other in V.)
- Output: a matching M with the maximum cardinality.
- Reduce the problem to a max flow problem.
  - Add a source s connected to all nodes in U, and a sink t connected to all nodes in V.
  - Direct the edges from s to U to V to t.
  - Let all capacities be 1.
- We can find a maximum bipartite matching in time O(mn).

In a biparitite graph G = (U, V, E) with |U| = |V|, a matching M is said to be *perfect* if |M| = |U|.

In a biparitite graph G = (U, V, E) with |U| = |V|, a matching M is said to be *perfect* if |M| = |U|.

In an undirected graph G = (V, E), a node u is a neighbor of another node v if  $(u, v) \in E$ .

In a biparitite graph G = (U, V, E) with |U| = |V|, a matching M is said to be *perfect* if |M| = |U|.

In an undirected graph G = (V, E), a node u is a neighbor of another node v if  $(u, v) \in E$ . For a set of nodes  $S \subseteq V$ , let us denote by  $\delta(S)$  the "neighbors" of S, i.e., a node is in  $\delta(S)$  if it has a neighbor in S.

#### Theorem

Hall's Theorem, a.k.a. Marriage Theorem A bipartite graph G = (U, V, E) has a perfect matching if and only if for any  $S \subseteq U$ ,  $|\delta(S)| \ge |S|$ .

In a biparitite graph G = (U, V, E) with |U| = |V|, a matching M is said to be *perfect* if |M| = |U|.

In an undirected graph G = (V, E), a node u is a neighbor of another node v if  $(u, v) \in E$ . For a set of nodes  $S \subseteq V$ , let us denote by  $\delta(S)$  the "neighbors" of S, i.e., a node is in  $\delta(S)$  if it has a neighbor in S.

#### Theorem

Hall's Theorem, a.k.a. Marriage Theorem A bipartite graph G = (U, V, E) has a perfect matching if and only if for any  $S \subseteq U$ ,  $|\delta(S)| \ge |S|$ .

Proof idea: To show a perfect matching exists, argue that the min cut in the corresponding flow network has capacity n.

In a biparitite graph G = (U, V, E) with |U| = |V|, a matching M is said to be *perfect* if |M| = |U|.

In an undirected graph G = (V, E), a node u is a neighbor of another node v if  $(u, v) \in E$ . For a set of nodes  $S \subseteq V$ , let us denote by  $\delta(S)$  the "neighbors" of S, i.e., a node is in  $\delta(S)$  if it has a neighbor in S.

#### Theorem

Hall's Theorem, a.k.a. Marriage Theorem A bipartite graph G = (U, V, E) has a perfect matching if and only if for any  $S \subseteq U$ ,  $|\delta(S)| \ge |S|$ .

Proof idea: To show a perfect matching exists, argue that the min cut in the corresponding flow network has capacity n. (One can also show the theorem directly via induction. Try it!)

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• Reducing to network flows and solving by Ford-Fulkerson is not the fastest algorithm to find maximum bipartite matchings.

- Reducing to network flows and solving by Ford-Fulkerson is not the fastest algorithm to find maximum bipartite matchings.
- Fastest algorithm known: Hopcroft-Karp algorithm, which runs in time  $O(m\sqrt{n})$ .

- Reducing to network flows and solving by Ford-Fulkerson is not the fastest algorithm to find maximum bipartite matchings.
- Fastest algorithm known: Hopcroft-Karp algorithm, which runs in time  $O(m\sqrt{n})$ .
- Basic idea: In each iteration, instead of augmenting along a path, look for a *maximal* set of vertex-disjoint *shortest* augmenting paths, and augment along all of them.

- Reducing to network flows and solving by Ford-Fulkerson is not the fastest algorithm to find maximum bipartite matchings.
- Fastest algorithm known: Hopcroft-Karp algorithm, which runs in time  $O(m\sqrt{n})$ .
- Basic idea: In each iteration, instead of augmenting along a path, look for a maximal set of vertex-disjoint shortest augmenting paths, and augment along all of them.
- Similar ideas (of augmenting along a collection of shortest paths that "block" s from t) lead to faster algorithms for the max flow problem: Dinic's algorithm, running in time  $O(mn^2)$ .
- (The algorithm by Edmonds and Karp that run in time  $O(m^2 n)$  is an important predecessor.